

**OPTIMISING LOCALLY AVAILABLE RESOURCES FOR NUTRIENT
MANAGEMENT TO IMPROVE BANANA PRODUCTIVITY IN THE FARMING
SYSTEMS IN ROMBO DISTRICT, KILIMANJARO REGION**

RUTAZAHA, JOANPAULA ELLISEUS

**A DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE
REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTER OF SCIENCE IN SOIL
SCIENCE AND LAND MANAGEMENT OF SOKOINE UNIVERSITY OF
AGRICULTURE. MOROGORO, TANZANIA.**

EXTENDED ABSTRACT

Banana is an important staple food in East Africa and an essential cash crop in the national and local economies. In Kagera and Kilimanjaro regions of Tanzania, banana is cultivated by more than 70% of smallholder farmers as a staple food in home gardens ranging from 0.5 to 2 hectares. Decline in banana yield has been reported in banana farming systems as a result of abiotic constraints (nutrient deficiencies and drought stress) and biotic constraints (pests and diseases). Decline in soil fertility and the ensuing nutrient deficiencies are among the major causes of the decline in banana yield. In the banana farming systems, nutrient removal most times exceeds their input, along with years of continuous cultivation results into negative yield trends. Most smallholder farmers are resource-constrained and thus limited in use of inorganic fertiliser due to cost, availability and usage. In this study the aim was to evaluate soil nutrient factors that affect banana production in order to identify localized soil nutrient management practices tailored to the biophysical and socioeconomic conditions of the smallholder farmer improve crop productivity. In evaluating soil fertility status in the banana farming systems, adequate indicators were employed, namely, physico-chemical and nutrient analysis, spatial analysis, crop yield and critical nutrient levels, limiting nutrients and nutrient balances.

A survey approach was employed, involving sample collection in farmers' banana fields. Using the Probability Sampling Technique, six wards (namely: Aleni, Mamsera, Manda, Mengeni, Mengwe and Shimbi) were selected in a systematic random manner based on banana production areas. Then from the six wards, a total of 100 sites were selected in a stratified random manner and geo-referenced. Allometric measurements, namely: girth at base (G_{base}), girth at 1-m height (G_{1m}), number of *hands*, and number of banana *fingers* on the bottom row of the second last hand were taken from among three selected mats with a

banana plant at fruiting stage per farm site. Analysis considered three banana cultivars, namely, *Malindi*, *Matoke* and *Mshare* that were dominant in the sites and had higher number of observations. Allometric data were used to determine banana bunch weights (*Bwt*) and above ground biomass (*AGB*). Results indicated that *Matoke* had significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher G_{base} , G_{1m} and *AGB* than *Malindi* and *Mshare*, whereas *Malindi* had significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) more number of *hands*. There was no significant difference (ns) ($P \leq 0.05$) for number of *fingers* and *Bwt* among the cultivars. Soil and plant samples were collected from every site and analysed for physicochemical properties and nutrients concentrations. Boundary line analysis was used to determine plant critical nutrient values. Results indicated critical levels were 2.39, 0.15, 1.5, 0.35 and 0.3% for N, P, K, Ca and Mg, respectively. Results from descriptive statistics, geo-statistics and nutrients maps, coefficient of variation diminished in the order $P > Cu > K > Zn > Mn > S$.

A survey was carried out to identify agronomic management practices and production constraints. Survey data were used to categorise farmers into wealth classes based on resources owned (Resource-rich *L3*, medium *L2* and poor *L1* households) as well as classes based on cattle ownership. Soil samples were collected from each farm at a depth of 30 cm and nutrient concentrations analysed. The aim was to determine most-limiting yield nutrients in the farms using nutritional index (*NI*). Bunch weight was compared to optimum attainable bunch weight of 28 kg and 69 low-yield farms were obtained. The major nutrient deficiencies were $K > Mn > P = Zn > Cu$ in 40, 35, 34 and 32% of low-yield areas, respectively. *L3* owned more land area under banana than *L2* and *L1* households by 8% (ns). Yet *L3* had significantly ($P \leq 0.05$) higher banana *Bwt*. Survey data along with data from nutrient analysis were used for estimating partial nutrient balances in home gardens across household classes. Large nutrient input observed was by farmyard manure application and removal by crops harvested and their residues. Higher negative N and K balances were obtained in home

gardens of less resource households and those with few (≤ 2) cattle, while positive P balances were obtained for home gardens across all household classes indicating less P-removal. Positive NPK balances were obtained for households with more (>2) dairy cattle, but these were just a few representation of households. Hence, indicating the need to employ an integrated nutrient management approach using other nutrient sources, other than farmyard manure, in order to increase nutrients input and thereby increase and sustain banana yield.

DECLARATION

I, Rutazaha JoanPaula Elliseus, do hereby declare to the Senate of Sokoine University of Agriculture, that this dissertation is my own original work done within the period of registration and that it has neither been submitted nor being concurrently submitted in any other institution.

Rutazaha JoanPaula Elliseus
(MSc. Candidate)

Date

The above declaration is confirmed by;

Dr. Peter W. Mtakwa
(Supervisor)

Date

Dr. Nyambilila Amuri
(Supervisor)

Date

Dr. Godfrey Taulya
(Supervisor)

Date

COPYRIGHT

No part of this dissertation may be reproduced, stored in any retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means without the prior written permission of the author or Sokoine University of Agriculture on that behalf.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Firstly, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to the Almighty God for His hand upon me all the time. His protection, wisdom, mercies and grace, I have seen. Indeed He is so faithful!

My sincere appreciation goes to my supervisors Dr. Peter W. Mtakwa, Dr. Nyambilila Amuri and Dr. Godfrey Taulya for their unending support of my masters' study and research work, for their patience, motivation, sacrificed time and immense knowledge. I was well guided in all the time of research and writing of this thesis. I cannot imagine having better advisors and mentors for my masters' study.

Besides my supervisors, I would like to thank Dr. Jacob Kaingo for his continuous assistance in every step of my research work. His guidance helped me at all time of research and thesis writing. For insightful comments, encouragement, but also for hard questions which incited me to widen my research from various perspectives.

My sincere thanks to the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) that provided me an opportunity to take part as a research fellow and for funding my studies and research. IITA also granted me access to the research facilities and laboratory services. Very special thanks to Dr. Godfrey Taulya who made it possible and saw I qualified for the sponsorship. Along with the entire Banana Agronomy team whose support made it easy to conduct this research, I say thank you.

My heartfelt appreciation goes to my family; my father Mr. Elliseus Rutazaha of blessed memory and my loving mother Mrs. Angela Rutazaha for their irreplaceable parental

guidance and support in seeing to it that I am educated. Also, my siblings: Eric, Evelyn and Gloria Rutazaha who have always supported me. I am grateful for your prayers, encouragement and moral support pushing me work hard in accomplishing my studies.

I extend my thanks to the entire staff members of the Department of Soil and Geological Sciences both academic and technical staff who have been part of my success. Also thanks to my colleagues MSc (Soil Science and Land Management), Stephen Gerrison, Anna Hance, Daniel Isdory and Ibrahim Paul, for their moral support in making the work better.

I would like to thank the field team in Rombo; the Enumerators (Jacob Ihuya, Maynard Magesse and Leah Shitindi), extension officers, particularly, Ahadi Mnyumba and Vincent Kateri and the farmers, for making it smooth for to accomplish the work.

Last but not the least, I thank all those who I have not mentioned in particular because of the number but I sincerely appreciate their support in making this work a reality.

DEDICATION

To God Almighty and my family: my parents and siblings, who are a great pillar to the education I am privileged to acquire today. Thank you for always believing in me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------------|
| EXTENDED ABSTRACT | ii |
| DECLARATION | v |
| COPYRIGHT | vi |
| ACKNOWLEDGMENTS..... | vii |
| DEDICATION | ix |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | x |
| LIST OF TABLES..... | xiv |
| LIST OF FIGURES..... | xv |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xvi |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS | xvii |
| CHAPTER ONE..... | 1 |
| 1.0 GENERAL INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background Information | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement and Justification | 2 |
| 1.3 Objectives | 2 |
| 1.3.1 Overall objective | 2 |
| 1.3.2 Specific objectives | 3 |
| 1.4 General Literature Review | 3 |
| 1.4.1 Banana production in Tanzania | 3 |
| 1.4.2 Dynamics of nutrient supply in banana farming systems..... | 3 |
| 1.4.3 Boundary Line Analysis (BLA) | 4 |
| 1.4.4 Nutrient budget concept in soil fertility management | 5 |
| 1.4.5 Geospatial modelling | 6 |
| 1.4.6 Allometric functions | 6 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| References | 8 |
| CHAPTER TWO | 14 |
| 2.0 SPATIAL VARIABILITY AND CRITICAL VALUES OF NUTRIENTS IN A HIGHLAND BANANA FARMING SYSTEM OF ROMBO DISTRICT, TANZANIA | 14 |
| ABSTRACT | 14 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 15 |
| 2.1 Materials and Methods | 16 |
| 2.2.1 Description of the study area | 16 |
| 2.2.2 Study design | 17 |
| 2.2.2.1 Soil sampling and nutrient analysis | 18 |
| 2.2.2.2 Plant sampling and nutrient analysis | 18 |
| 2.2.2.3 Allometric measurements | 19 |
| 2.2.3 Geostatistical analysis and mapping of soil parameters | 19 |
| 2.2.4 Data analysis | 20 |
| 2.3 Results and Discussion | 21 |
| 2.3.1 Descriptive statistics of the soil properties in Rombo District | 21 |
| 2.3.2 Foliar nutrients concentration | 22 |
| 2.3.3 Allometric parameters | 23 |
| 2.3.3.1 Observed and predicted sub-dataset | 23 |
| 2.3.3.2 Allometric parameters | 24 |
| 2.3.4 Relation between allometric parameters and the banana bunch weight | 26 |
| 2.3.5 Critical nutrient levels | 26 |
| 2.4 Mapping Spatial Nutrient Distribution | 29 |
| 2.5 Conclusion and Recommendation | 35 |
| References | 36 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| CHAPTER THREE..... | 40 |
| 3.0 DETERMINING THE MOST LIMITING NUTRIENTS IN THE BANANA | |
| FARMING AREAS | 40 |
| ABSTRACT | 40 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 40 |
| 3.2 Materials and Methods | 42 |
| 3.2.1 Description of the study area | 42 |
| 3.2.2 Study design | 42 |
| 3.2.2.1 Survey and data collection..... | 43 |
| 3.2.2.2 Socioeconomic characterisation | 43 |
| 3.2.2.3 Soil sampling and nutrient analysis..... | 44 |
| 3.2.2.4 Plant sampling and nutrient analysis | 44 |
| 3.2.3 Data analysis..... | 45 |
| 3.3 Results and Discussion..... | 46 |
| 3.3.1 Most limiting nutrients | 46 |
| 3.3.2 Nutrient management and bunch weight across socio-economic classes | 48 |
| 3.4 Conclusion and Recommendation..... | 50 |
| References | 51 |
| CHAPTER FOUR | 55 |
| 4.0 PARTIAL NUTRIENT BUDGET FOR THE MOST LIMITING MAJOR | |
| NUTRIENTS..... | 55 |
| ABSTRACT | 55 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 55 |
| 4.2 Materials and Methods | 57 |
| 4.2.1 Description of the study area | 57 |
| 4.2.2 Study design | 57 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.2.2.1 Survey and data collection..... | 57 |
| 4.2.2.2 Socioeconomic characterisation | 58 |
| 4.2.2.3 Plant sampling and nutrient analysis | 58 |
| 4.2.2.4 Partial nutrient budget | 59 |
| 4.2.3 Statistical analysis | 59 |
| 4.3 Results and Discussion..... | 60 |
| 4.3.1 Nutrient flows (input and output) | 60 |
| 4.3.2 Partial nutrient balance | 61 |
| 4.4 Conclusion and Recommendations | 64 |
| References | 65 |
| CHAPTER FIVE..... | 69 |
| 5.0 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 69 |
| 5.1 Conclusions | 69 |
| 6.2 Recommendations | 69 |
| APPENDICES..... | 71 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1: Soil physico-chemical properties of the selected banana farms, number of observations | 21 |
| Table 2.2: Soil nutrient status of the selected banana farms in Rombo district, number of observations | 22 |
| Table 2.3: Means of foliar nutrient concentrations for Malindi, Matoke and Mshare variety | 23 |
| Table 2.5: Descriptive statistics for allometric banana plant parameters for the selected banana cultivars | 25 |
| Table 2.5: Estimated critical value or lower limit (L_l) and upper limit (L_u) of the sufficiency for plant foliar properties. | 27 |
| Table 2.6: Estimated critical values or lower limit (L_l) and upper limit (L_u) of the sufficiency range of soil properties | 27 |
| Table 2.7: Descriptive statistics, performance indices, semi-variogram models and spatial dependence ratio for the soil properties | 31 |
| Table 3.1: Estimated lower limit- (L_l), upper limit- (L_u) of the sufficiency range and nutritional index (NI) for soil properties | 47 |
| Table 3.2: Variation in mat density, banana acreage and bunch weight (Bwt) across the household wealth classes | 49 |
| Table 3.3: Variation in mulch depth, mulch cover, weed depth and weed cover across the household wealth classes | 49 |
| Table 4.1: Overall average nutrient input and output in Rombo District | 60 |
| Table 4.2: Farms nutrient balances across household classes in Rombo District | 62 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1: Map showing Rombo District (in yellow) in Tanzania | 17 |
| Figure 2.2: Relationship between the predicted and observed bunch weights | 23 |
| Figure 2.3: The relationship between bunch weight and pseudostem girth at base (A) and at 1 m (B), linear and ‘‘power’’ relations lines shown. | 26 |
| Figure 2.4: Relationship between bunch weight (<i>Bwt</i>) and nutrient concentration in banana leaves | 28 |
| Figure 2.5: Bunch weight (<i>Bwt</i>) relation to selected nutrient concentrations in soil | 28 |
| Figure 2.6: Fitted variograms of soil properties | 30 |
| Figure 2.7a: Spatial distribution of OC and nutrients status in banana farms in Rombo District..... | 33 |
| Figure 2.7b: Spatial distribution of micronutrients and pH in banana farms in Rombo District..... | 34 |
| Figure 2.7c: Spatial distribution maps of soil texture in banana fields in Rombo District..... | 35 |
| Figure 4.1: Manure application across the different household categories | 61 |
| Figure 4.2: The boxplots showing nutrient balances for NPK for bananas in home gardens across different household categories (wealth classes and cattle ownership classes) in Rombo District..... | 63 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: Soil nutrient concentration and physicochemical properties71