

Farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools in Ogun State, Nigeria

Journal of
Strategy and
Management

Daniel Oyewale Abioye

*International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria and
University of Ibadan School of Business, Ibadan, Nigeria*

Olufemi Popoola

Nigerian Institute of Social and Economic Research (NISER), Ibadan, Nigeria

Adebowale Akande

International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria

David Abimbola Fadare

*Department of Mechanical Engineering, Automation and Robotic Laboratory,
University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria*

Siyanbola Adewumi Omitoyin

University of Ibadan School of Business, Ibadan, Nigeria

Babatunde Yinusa

University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria, and

Olayinka Oladayo Kolade

International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria

Received 22 June 2023
Revised 28 November 2023
27 January 2024
4 April 2024
2 July 2024
Accepted 13 July 2024

Abstract

Purpose – The agricultural sector has experienced a transformative impact through the adoption of digital technologies, particularly mobile applications designed for farmers. This study investigates the factors influencing smallholder farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools in Ogun State, Nigeria, focusing on the IITA herbicide calculator and Akilimo mobile applications.

Design/methodology/approach – Data were gathered from 572 smallholder farmers participating in the Zero Hunger project. This research contributes to the limited empirical evidence in Nigeria concerning farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools. The study analyzes the effects of education, training, access to internet services, smartphone ownership, willingness to use paid applications, awareness of application tools and the cost of digital tools on farmers' willingness to adopt. Gender differentials in willingness to adopt were also explored.

Findings – The results indicate positive and statistically significant effects of education, training, internet access, smartphone ownership, willingness to use paid applications, awareness of application tools and the cost of digital tools on farmers' willingness to adopt. However, female farmers exhibited a lower willingness to adopt digital application tools.

© Daniel Oyewale Abioye, Olufemi Popoola, Adebowale Akande, David Abimbola Fadare, Siyanbola Adewumi Omitoyin, Babatunde Yinusa and Olayinka Oladayo Kolade. Published by Emerald Publishing Limited. This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY 4.0) licence. Anyone may reproduce, distribute, translate and create derivative works of this article (for both commercial and non-commercial purposes), subject to full attribution to the original publication and authors. The full terms of this licence may be seen at <http://creativecommons.org/licences/by/4.0/legalcode>

This research was conducted as part of the Agricultural Transformation in Nigeria's Federal States and Togolese Regions Towards Achieving Zero Hunger Project, funded by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) under grant number 2000002865. The authors acknowledge their financial support.



Journal of Strategy and
Management
Emerald Publishing Limited
1755-425X
DOI 10.1108/JMSA-06-2023-0135

Practical implications – Policymakers are urged to create supportive policies promoting basic formal education and provide effective extension services to enhance farmers' training. Additionally, efforts should be made to reduce the cost of digital applications and improve internet accessibility in rural areas. Encouraging female farmers to adopt advanced agricultural technologies is essential. Stakeholders are advised to raise awareness of digital application tools to expedite the adoption of agricultural technologies in the country.

Social implications – This study will be helpful for the government to determine the state's readiness for digital agriculture, it will help technology developers and agricultural technology startups to understand the factors determining farmers willingness to adopt digital application tools.

Originality/value – This study offers insights into the readiness of Ogun State, Nigeria, for digital agriculture. It provides valuable information for technology developers and agricultural startups to understand the determinants of farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools, contributing to the advancement of the agricultural technology landscape.

Keywords Willingness to adopt, Digital application, Technology adoption, Logistic regression, IITA herbicide calculator, Akilimo

Paper type Research paper

Introduction

Digital technologies have proved useful in various sectors such as health, manufacturing, finance, and agriculture (Muhamad *et al.*, 2021; Massaro *et al.*, 2021; Mohapatra *et al.*, 2022). Digital technologies increase productivity, enhance quality, and promote environmental sustainability in the agricultural sector (Saiz-Rubio and Rovira-Más, 2020). Digital transformations through "Industry 5.0" are transforming agriculture through precise and real-time decision-making in farming activities (Hrustek, 2020; Saiz-Rubio and Rovira-Más, 2020). Internet applications and mobile phones, among other digital technologies, are changing how people communicate (Boateng *et al.*, 2017) and its prospects in agriculture have advanced significantly (FAO and ITU, 2017). According to Sturgeon *et al.* (2017), there is a chance that the "New Digital Economy" may lead to the creation of digital solutions for numerous problems facing agricultural development. The integration of digital technologies in agriculture not only enhances efficiency within agricultural value chains but also supports the three pillars of Industry 5.0: human-centricity, resilience, and sustainability. This approach prioritizes the needs of farmers, empowering them to adapt to a changing environment and ensuring sustainable production (Bukht and Heeks, 2018).

The availability and adoption of technological innovations by farmers are imperative for sustained agricultural productivity (De Janvry *et al.*, 2016). This is particularly important for the Nigerian economy, where the sector contributes about 23.4% to the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (Worldbank, 2021) and employs over 70% of Nigeria's labour force (NITDA, 2020). The application of digital technologies is, therefore, a welcome development for Nigeria's agricultural development, considering the current realities in the country. New digital technologies are being generated; however, the adoption process remains a challenge (Dissanayake *et al.*, 2022). This paper, therefore, focuses on assessing the awareness and willingness to adopt weed management and agronomy advisory digital application tools in Nigeria. The digital application tools considered in this study are the IITA Herbicide calculator and Akilimo. These tools were developed by the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in 2019. Digital technologies are central to disseminating real-time information to farmers about weather, farming, and harvesting techniques (Kumar *et al.*, 2021). Nyarkoa and Kozárib (2021) posited that adopting agricultural technology leads to increased efficiency and productivity through improved extension service delivery. Consequently, the productivity gains and income increase resulting from technology adoption significantly enhance the livelihoods of agricultural households in the country.

According to reports, \$63 million was reportedly spent on agricultural technologies in Africa in 2019. About 60% of the investment and operational startups were in Kenya, Nigeria, and Ghana. Nigerian agricultural technology companies use digital solutions to increase farmers' access to markets, financing, assets, and data-driven information (Raithatha, 2020). According to

Shapshak (2018), some of Nigeria's leading agricultural technology companies include Thrive Agric and Farmcrowdy, which provide platforms for public investment in agribusiness. AgroMall creates economic identities for farmers using farmer data, whereas Crop2Cash digitizes the entire value chain and offers digital payments. To help farmers have more negotiating power, AFEX generates tradeable electronic warehouse receipts that can be used as money. It also provides services like connecting farmers with agronomic training, input access, and loans. To enhance farmers' productivity, BeatDrone uses drones for pesticide spraying, crop monitoring, and farmland mapping. On the other hand, Hello Tractor uses an online platform to connect tractor owners and smallholder farmers. Verdant AgriTech provides farmers with a mobile-based digital solution that offers market information, managerial support, and market access for smallholder farmers. TradeBuza is another cloud-based web and mobile-based platform that assists clients in managing the visibility of their out-grower schemes and trading commodities more effectively (Shapshak, 2018). Agricultural technology firms are fast offering innovative technology solutions to farmers. This brings to the fore the issues of awareness and adoption of these technological solutions by farmers.

The motivation of this study is premised on the importance of the agricultural sector to the Nigerian economy, in terms of food production and livelihood. Smallholder farmers are integral to achieving this goal. However, smallholder farmers in most developing countries, including Nigeria face barriers to accessing the knowledge, skills, and market information necessary to increase their income (Chapagain and Raizada, 2017; Misaki *et al.*, 2018; Petcho *et al.*, 2019). The emergence of the internet and increased global connectivity present a significant opportunity for the country to leverage technological innovation, such as mobile applications, to accelerate farmers' livelihood and ensure responsible food production. Utilizing information and communication technology (ICT), such as mobile phone applications, is one strategy farmers can use to manage and address problems that impede agricultural productivity and development (Mandi and Patnaik, 2019; Krell *et al.*, 2021; Sharma *et al.*, 2020). Despite these opportunities, Diaz *et al.* (2021) reported that most farmers have not taken full advantage of these benefits. The efficient use of ICTs in developing nations is hampered by issues including a lack of knowledge and expertise in using mobile phones and applications, the inability to purchase mobile devices, the use of foreign languages in applications, and network issues, among other things (Emeana *et al.*, 2020; Hoang, 2020; Sadekur Rahman *et al.*, 2020).

In addition, the knowledge, services, and technologies available to smallholder farmers are limited. In most cases, they require assistance to adopt improved farming techniques. The main thrust of agriculture technology solutions is the extension services that help farmers accept new technologies and innovation. ICTs can support extension agents' work in assisting farmers with crop planning, locating inputs for crop cultivation, and local market sales. With individualized and tailored services, ICT communication technologies can be critical in helping farmers access new inputs, credit, and markets. As a result of the poor adoption of many fee-based ICT subscription models (Alshubiri *et al.*, 2019), many digital technology efforts, despite their promise, fail to scale up (Ebad, 2018). When predicted advantages from information outweigh the costs, it is generally considered that people will invest in getting that information. Considering this, the observed limited uptake of agricultural technologies by farmers, even at reasonable prices, could be easily explained by low expected returns. Although many digital technology services are available, farmers are unaware of or unwilling to adopt them, among other related problems, limiting their use (Mhlanga and Ndhlovu, 2023). This study contributes to the limited literature on the willingness to adopt mobile application tools by farmers, especially in Nigeria. Similar studies in other countries include Diaz *et al.* (2021) who investigated farmers' willingness to use a mobile app for bamboo product marketing in the Philippines; Krell *et al.* (2021) investigated the factors influencing Kenyan farmers' likelihood to adopt mobile phone services; Kabbiri *et al.* (2018) used data from Ugandan dairy farmers to examine the adoption of mobile phones

in the agri-food sector in Sub-Saharan Africa. This study was done to determine whether farmers would be willing to accept digital technology tools and what factors would influence their decision in the Nigerian case.

To appropriate the benefits of digital innovation and technologies, it is espoused in different national, regional, and global policy objectives. The current study aligns with the sustainable development goals of ending poverty (SDG1), zero hunger (SDG2), and sustainable consumption and production (SDG12). Considering the regional policy objectives, it aligns with the Agenda 2063 of the African Union (Goal 5) of increasing agricultural productivity through the modernization of agriculture (AUC and AUDA-NEPAD, 2020). At the national level, the study will proffer policy direction to the achievement of the Nigeria Digital Agriculture Strategy (NDAS), which was developed in 2020 as an offshoot of the Nigeria Smart Initiative and the Nigeria Digital Economy Policy and Strategy (NDEPS). The current National Development Plan (2021–2025) also aims to increase agricultural productivity by introducing technology and innovative agriculture solutions. In what follows are the description of the agricultural digital technology tools considered in the study and the methodology. The results and discussion section follow this, along with the concluding remarks and policy recommendations.

Description of selected agricultural digital technology tools

IITA Herbicide Calculator and Akilimo were selected for this study because cassava is a major staple crop in Nigeria, and eradicating weeds takes over 60% of the cost of producing cassava. In addition, with the high cost of fertilizer, there is a need for effective usage, hence, the focus on Akilimo as fertilizer optimization for maximum yield.

Herbicide calculator

The IITA Herbicide Calculator is a mobile app created and released by IITA and the Cassava Weed Management Project, financed by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation. It has been used in Nigeria and other African nations to manage weeds in cassava. The App, which functions on a basic smartphone and is available online and offline, assists farmers (who grow cassava primarily) in estimating the appropriate amount of herbicides to put in knapsack sprayers. This prevents farmers from under- or overdosing, which can result in environmental pollution and weed resistance.

There is an ongoing evaluation on the impact of the application on farmers' cost of production but feedback from the farmers using the technology in Ogun State revealed that it contributes to a reduction in the cost of herbicide purchase and effective weed management.



Akilimo

The African Cassava Agronomy Initiative (ACAI), coordinated by IITA, created the mobile agronomy advisory tool Akilimo. Cassava growers receive agronomic guidance from Akilimo. The tools offer specific advice to farmers on how to cultivate cassava, including how to apply fertilizer, steps to manage weeds, use the best planting techniques, and intercrop cassava with sweet potato (for Tanzania) and maize (for Nigeria). As of September 2022, AKILIMO recommendations have been deployed on 370,000 Hectares in Nigeria and Tanzania, 6,504 extension agents have been trained, and 809,396 people have been reached with the technology (Akilimo, 2022). Preliminary results from the field revealed that Over 75% of Akilimo users reported increases in yield and profit on their cassava production (Laborde, 2022).

Technology acceptance model

This study rests on the technology acceptance model as proposed by Davis (1989). This theory has been adopted in several empirical studies (Chuttur, 2009; Kabbiri *et al.*, 2018; Rezaei *et al.*, 2020). This theory is useful in informing relevant stakeholders/technology developers, implementers, and policymakers whether a newly developed technology would be accepted or not (Kabbiri *et al.*, 2018). The main elements of this theory are perceived use, perceived ease of use, attitude, and behavioural intentions to use new technology. This model helps to understand the factors that influence human behaviour towards potential technology acceptance or rejection. Critics of the model reported that the model does not fully reflect the specific contextual and technological factors that may influence users' acceptance of technology (Wang *et al.*, 2003; Kabbiri *et al.*, 2018). For the current study, the main elements of the theory may not fully explain the willingness of the farmers to pay for the digital application tools. As with other studies such as Kabbiri *et al.* (2018), other factors can predict the uptake of the mobile digital application tools by farmers. These factors include adoption factors, behavioural intention, and technology usage (Park and del Pobil, 2013). Hence, the inclusion of these factors will enhance the applicability of the model (Rind *et al.*, 2017). This study extends the technology acceptance model by including some variables, namely innovativeness, perceived cost, socio-demographic characteristics, innovating factor

for mobile phone technology in the agri-food sector, and information awareness, as additional constructs to analyse motivating factors for the adoption of digital application tools by farmers.

Review of empirical studies

Farmers' willingness to use a mobile app for bamboo product marketing in the Philippines was investigated by [Diaz et al.](#) in 2021. The research modified the technology acceptance model by examining farmers' perceptions of cost, socio-demographic characteristics, innovativeness, simplicity of use, and social influence in addition to information awareness and affordability. According to the findings, there was a statistically significant positive association between these variables and the propensity to adopt mobile apps for bamboo marketing. Still, farmers' worries about total costs, including mobile data, transaction, and downloading costs—had a major detrimental impact on their WTA due to the perceived cost. Based on the findings, the government should create laws that lower the expenses associated with adopting technology to encourage farmers to use apps like Bamboost. This could lead to a decrease in rural poverty.

[Krell et al. \(2021\)](#) investigated the factors influencing Kenyan farmers' likelihood to adopt mobile phone services. These services included information about purchasing and selling goods, notifications regarding activity relating to agriculture or livestock, and information about agriculture and animals. The findings showed that the use of mobile services is more likely among those who own a personal smartphone and are members of agricultural organizations. According to the study, mobile service providers should design their products for basic or feature phone customers to increase the distribution of agro-meteorological information to the farmers.

According to [Mandi and Patnaik \(2019\)](#), the introduction of mobile apps in the agriculture and allied sectors has sped up the pace at which farmers are transferring technology to one another. It has developed into a channel through which farmers can obtain information about farming, including seeds, crop selection, crop cultivation, weather, fertilizer, pesticides, and other related topics, from a variety of sources that are dispersed across different regions based on the product's origin, processors, producers, or vendors who use the app. The app provides a simple way to manage and communicate with farmers efficiently.

[Kabbiri et al. \(2018\)](#) used data from Ugandan dairy farmers to examine the adoption of mobile phones in the agri-food sector in Sub-Saharan Africa. According to the study, one of the main factors influencing the adoption of mobile phones is perceived ease of use. However, perceived benefit and perceived utility have a negative impact on the uptake of mobile phones. This was somewhat expected, as most of the farmers in the research used their phones primarily for everyday communication rather than to market their produce by looking up and exchanging pricing information. For these reasons, awareness campaigns by pertinent parties are necessary to alter these farmers' perspectives regarding the use of mobile phones. [Hoang \(2020\)](#) on the factors that influence Vietnamese farmers' use of mobile phones for fruit marketing. The study found that young male farmers with high incomes who live far from local markets and take part in training programs are more likely to use ICT tools—mobile phones—for fruit marketing. When choosing marketing information strategies to distribute to small-scale farmers in developing nations, as well as when encouraging farmers to adopt ICT tools for agricultural produce marketing, it is important to consider their demographic, socioeconomic, situational, and institutional characteristics. Farmers' adoption of ICTs (mobile phones) for fruit marketing is hampered by the high cost of using them and their lack of experience or skill in using applications.

Materials and methods

The study area and period

The research was carried out in Ogun State, Nigeria. Ogun State is located in the southwest of the country and has 20 Local Government Areas (LGAs). Agriculture is the mainstay of the state's economy, and cassava is a major crop. Therefore, this state was chosen because it is a major cassava-producing state in Nigeria. The study was conducted in 10 Local government areas (LGAs) out of the 20 LGAs in Ogun State, Nigeria. These LGAs were purposively selected as the LGAs involved in the Zero Hunger Project. The data were collected from November 2, 2021, to April 7, 2022.

Sampling procedure and sample size

Ogun State was chosen being a major cassava producing state in Nigeria. In addition, the Cassava Weed Management Project (CWMP) which developed the IITA Herbicide Calculator, and the ACAI, which developed Akilimo were implemented in this state.

The Zero Hunger project, funded by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), aims to contribute to Zero Hunger initiatives in the rice and cassava value chains of Nigeria and Togo. Among other technologies deployed under the project, the baseline study in 2021 focused on examining the status of established mobile applications, such as the IITA Herbicide Calculator and Akilimo, to address farmers' knowledge gaps and enhance productivity. This research specifically investigates the willingness of farmers in selected LGAs in Ogun State to adopt these digital tools.

To conduct this study, a sample size was drawn from a pool of 9,000 farmers, purposively selected and profiled from 10 Local Government Areas (LGAs) in Ogun State. The choice of these ten LGAs was purposeful, considering factors such as the concentration of cassava farmers, previous deployment of digital tools, security considerations, and accessibility. Interactions with the extension agents helped the team to identify and exclude high-risk or inaccessible areas. In all, a total of 572 cassava farmers were randomly selected. The data collection was conducted using the Open Data Kit (ODK) (see [Table 1](#)).

Analytical techniques

Descriptive statistics such as frequency tables, means, and standard deviation were used to describe the socio-economic characteristics of the cassava farmers in the study area. Also, the awareness, availability, and use of digital technologies/infrastructure were analysed using descriptive statistics.

The logit regression was used to isolate the factors influencing farmers' willingness to adopt weed management and agronomy advisory digital application tools in Nigeria. The choice of the logit regression is premised on the nature of the dependent variable being dichotomous, which is the willingness of the farmer to adopt the digital mobile app or not. The logit regression model is presented as follows:

The regression model is expressed as follows in [equation \(1\)](#):

$$will_adpt_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \beta_3 X_3 + \beta_4 X_4 + \dots + \beta_n X_n + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

Where $will_adpt_i$ is defined as:

$$will_adpt_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if a farmer is willing to adopt digital application tools (IITA Herbicide calculator and Akilimo)} \\ 0 & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Motivation for choice of variables

The explanatory variables are described in the [Table 2](#):

JSMA

LGA	Total No of farmers	Female	Male	Youth	Adult	Number of communities	Names of the communities
Ado odo ota	25	11	14	11	14	3	Arobiye, Oko omi and the Bells University
Ijebu East	24	2	22	3	21	5	Tibgori, Odomefi, odole, Ijebu mushin and Ojowo
Ijebu North	39	2	37	17	22	7	Odo-ejogun, Ago iwoye, Kegbo, Ojowo, Enigbokowa, Amula and Farm Settlement
Ijebu North East	10	4	6	3	7	3	Odogbolu apunren and Imuroko
Ikenne	49	15	34	11	38	4	Ilisan, Ikenne, Iperu and Irolo
Obafemi Owode	85	34	51	29	56	5	Oyebola, Owode, Oduro, Omileragu and Ayiwere
Odeda	105	28	77	33	72	8	Agbaje/Eweje, Sanusi, Ijo Agbe, Kugba Ajagbe, Itoko, Oluga, Ajegunle, Rogun Rogun
Odogbolu	74	25	49	12	62	6	Idowa, Aiyeye, Imodi, Ibefun, Ala and Eyinwa
Yewa North	80	13	67	32	48	7	Ayetoro, Igbogila, Gbokoto Isale, Ibooro, Igan Alade, Ijoun (Ijale Ketu Community) and Ayetoro (Saala Orile Community)
Yewa South	81	13	68	33	48	6	Itaegbe, Ihunbo, Okeodan, Ilaro, Eyekanse And Eredo
	572	147	425	184	388	54	

Table 1. Sampling distribution of farmers

Source(s): Authors' calculation from the Zero Hunger Project baseline survey, 2022

Sex of farmer (1 = male; 0 = female)

Age of farmer (years)

Level of education (1 = No formal education; 2 = primary education; 3 = secondary education; 4 = tertiary education)

Years of experience in cassava farming (years)

Attended training (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Access to internet service (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Access to extension services (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Awareness of IITA herbicide calculator (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Awareness of Akilimo (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Ownership of smartphone (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Usage of paid phone application (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Heard of calibration spraying (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Cost of digital application tool (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Ease of use of digital application tool (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Innovativeness of digital application tool (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Digital application tool used by other farmers (1 = Yes; 0 = No)

Table 2.

Explanatory variables

Empirical evidence exists that the use of mobile phones in delivering agricultural information leads to the adoption of technologies (Cole and Fernando, 2016; Hoang, 2020) and improved production (Casaburi *et al.*, 2019; Haruna *et al.*, 2018; Mwita *et al.*, 2020). Following Kabbiri *et al.* (2018) and Diaz *et al.* (2021), our research model rests on an extension of the TAM model, where the willingness to adopt mobile digital applications is jointly determined by perceived use, ease of use, innovativeness, information awareness, perceived cost, and socio-economic characteristics. It is evidenced in the literature that individuals/farmers will adopt a technology if they find such technologies useful (Kabbiri *et al.*, 2018; Diaz *et al.*, 2021). Chuttur (2009) and Wu and Wang (2005) reported that for a given technology to be adopted, it must be easy to use. The perceived cost of a technology is a crucial component. If using a technology has an expense associated with it, farmers are less likely to adopt it. Accordingly, adoption and perceived cost are expected to have a negative relationship (Wu and Wang, 2005; Rehman *et al.*, 2016; Okoroji *et al.*, 2021). Furthermore, it is proposed that farmers are more likely to adopt a technology if they believe it to be innovative (Hung *et al.*, 2003; Alalwan *et al.*, 2018; Diaz *et al.*, 2021). The age, educational attainment, and size of a farmer's farm are sociodemographic factors that affect the adoption of smartphone applications (Michels *et al.*, 2020). Differences in the adoption of technology between smallholder farmers and their counterparts may also be caused by factors like farm size and education (Caffaro and Cavallo, 2019). According to Aker (2011) and Hakkak *et al.* (2013), farmers consider the advice of friends, fellow farmers, and family when deciding which technology to use. Research on ICT adoption has shown that smallholder farmers are generally cost-conscious and sensitive to even small changes in the services fees associated with a particular commodity or technology (Okoroji, 2019). This submission was also put forward by Arslan *et al.* (2014), Jumbe and Nyambose (2016), and Ntshangase *et al.* (2018). They emphasized that farmers who received regular visits and support from extension workers were more likely to implement new farming techniques or technologies. Additionally, using mobile applications is positively correlated with smartphone ownership (Krell *et al.*, 2021; Thar *et al.*, 2021).

Results and discussion

Socio-economic characteristics of cassava farmers

According to Table 3, 74.3% of the cassava farmers are male. Most of the farmers are between 25–54 years of age (64.5%), followed by those between 55–64 years. The average age of sampled cassava farmers was 45.1 years. This shows that most of the sampled cassava farmers are in their economically active years and might be willing to adopt digital technologies. Interestingly, about 23.6% of cassava farmers have tertiary education, while 33.2% have secondary education, followed by those with primary education, which was put at 31.6%. This is a reflection that cassava farmers in the sampled areas are largely educated. This is in contrast to the notion that most farmers in Nigeria have no form of formal education. This will have implications for the willingness of the farmers to adopt digital technologies in their production activities and in making informed production decisions. For farming experience, most of the farmers had 1–5 years of experience in cassava farming (67.5%), while 32.5% had between 6–10 years of farming experience. The average years of farming were 18.5 years.

The sampled farmers are all producers and do not process or market cassava at the time of collecting this data. About 91% of sampled farmers reported that either of the parents was involved in farming. Similarly, about 57% of the farmers also reported that their children were also involved in farming. The probable reason is that farming is an inter-generational occupation among the respondents. The sampled cassava farmers belong to one association or the other, with varying years of membership, the least number of years being 1–5 years (52.9%). At least 75.8% of the farmers cultivate 2 hectares or less, with the average area under cultivation being 1.9 hectares. This is typical of smallholder farmers in Nigeria, as also put

Socio-economic characteristics	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>Sex of respondent</i>		
Male	425	74.30
Female	147	25.70
Total	572	100.00
<i>Age (years)</i>		
15–24 years	38	6.64
25–54 years	369	64.51
55–64 years	114	19.93
65 years and above	51	8.92
Total	572	100.00
Mean = 45.1 years		
<i>Years of experience</i>		
1–5 years	386	67.48
6–10 years	186	32.52
Total	572	100.00
Mean = 18.6 years		
<i>Level of education</i>		
None	66	11.5
Primary	181	31.64
Secondary	190	33.22
Tertiary	135	23.60
Total	572	100.00
<i>Did any of your parents (father or mother) practise farming?</i>		
Yes	501	90.93
No	50	9.07
Total	551	100.00
<i>Is any of your children or members of your family (if a youth) interested in far</i>		
Yes	312	56.93
No	236	43.07
Total	548	100.00
<i>Have you ever attended training in cassava production or processing?</i>		
Yes	321	56.12
No	251	43.88
Total	572	100.00
<i>Is the household head a member of an association/cooperative?</i>		
Yes	344	60.14
No longer	62	10.84
Never	166	29.02
Total	572	100.00
<i>Do you have access to extension services?</i>		
Yes	527	93.44
No	37	6.56
Total	564	100.00

Table 3.
Socio-economic
characteristics of
cassava farmers

(continued)

Socio-economic characteristics	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>The area under cultivation (Ha)</i>		
<=1 ha	310	54.20
1.01–2 ha	124	21.68
2.01–3 ha	44	7.69
3.01–4 ha	35	6.12
4.01–5 ha	59	10.31
Total	572	100.00
Mean = 1.93 Ha		

Source(s): Authors' computation

Table 3.

forward by UNCTAD (2015), that smallholder farmers in Nigeria cultivate less than 2 hectares of land.

Concerning training on cassava production, 56.1% of the farmers have attended training on cassava production. Only 43.8% have not attended any form of training on cassava production. The training received by these farmers is likely to affect the decision to adopt new technologies. This is because the training will expose the farmers to recent trends in cassava production and available technologies and innovations that could drive productivity among farmers. It was also reported that 83.7% of the farmers derive their income from agriculture. Sampled cassava farmers belong to an association or a cooperative group (60.1%), and 20% have never been a member of any association.

Access and use of digital technologies/infrastructure

Access to the internet and the availability of smartphones are important, influential factors in smallholder farmers' willingness to adopt digital technologies. Table 4 presents the evidence from the sampled cassava farmers on access and use of digital infrastructure in the study area. Results revealed that about 72.7% of the farmers had access to the Internet in their respective communities. However, only 39.2% of the farmers had smartphones. This is likely to affect these farmers' willingness to adopt a digital application tool for improved productivity. Among the sampled farmers who owned smartphones, about 88% of them use their smartphones for WhatsApp, a digital communication application tool. Other uses are for Facebook (6.3%) and online businesses (3.6%). A slightly above-average proportion of the farmers currently use paid phone applications on their phones (51.4%). About 48.6% do not use any paid application on their smartphones. A further question was asked on the areas of use or preference of any digital application tool downloaded with the smartphone. The sampled farmers responded that they would download or use an application that gives weather forecasts (64.5%), supports pests and disease management (12.2%), weed management (7.0%), value addition, or crop processing (7.0%), among other uses. This reflects the areas of need of the farmers, where digital application tools can help improve productivity at the farm level.

The farmers also reported on some characteristics and features they looked for before using a digital application tool. The characteristics and features considered are the cost of the application, ease of use, innovativeness, already used by other farmers, and whether it helps solve a problem. About 46.8% of the farmers alluded that the cost of the application is a factor they look out for before using any digital application tool; this is followed by ease of use of the application (31.3%) and if the application tool helps in solving a problem (17.2%). Others are innovativeness (2.8%) and digital application being used by other farmers (1.4%). The farmers also reported that the language of instruction is integral to their willingness to adopt any digital application tool. The majority of the farmers (87.2%) were affirmative of this

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>Do you have access to the internet in your community?</i>		
Yes	416	72.7
No	156	27.3
<i>Total</i>	<i>572</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Do you have a smartphone?</i>		
Yes	224	39.2
No	348	60.8
<i>Total</i>	<i>572</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>If you have a smartphone, what do you use it for?</i>		
WhatsApp	197	88.0
Facebook	14	6.3
IG	2	0.9
Online business	8	3.6
Other Apps	3	1.3
<i>Total</i>	<i>224</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Do you currently use any paid phone applications?</i>		
Yes	113	51.4
No	107	48.6
<i>Total</i>	<i>220</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>If not, have you used any paid phone applications before?</i>		
Yes	14	13.1
No	93	86.9
<i>Total</i>	<i>107</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>If you were to download or use an application, which of the following areas would</i>		
An application that gives the weather forecast	369	64.5
An application that supports pests and disease management	70	12.2
Application on weed management	40	7.0
An application that supports farm records	11	1.9
An application that supports payment (receiving and paying out)	31	5.4
An application that gives fertilizer recommendation	5	0.9
An application that supports farmers' profiling (showing age, sex, location and business)	6	1.1
An application that teaches value addition or how to process crops	40	7.0
<i>Total</i>	<i>572</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>What do you look out for before using an application?</i>		
Cost of application	264	46.8
Ease of application	179	31.7
Innovativeness	16	2.8
If it is to be used by other farmers	8	1.4
Solving a problem	97	17.2
<i>Total</i>	<i>564</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Does the language of instruction in an Application matter to you?</i>		
Yes	492	87.2
No	72	12.8
<i>Total</i>	<i>564</i>	<i>100</i>

Table 4.
Access and use of digital technologies/
infrastructure

(continued)

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>Which of the following languages will you prefer to use as an Application?</i>		
English	104	19.1
Yoruba	296	54.1
Pidgin	12	2.2
English and Yoruba	116	21.3
Either English, Yoruba or Pidgin	16	2.9
<i>Total</i>	<i>544</i>	<i>100</i>

Source(s): Authors' computation

Table 4.

position. They also added that their preferred language of instruction is their mother tongue, the Yoruba language (52.5%). Followed by those who preferred both English and Yoruba languages (20.6%) and English language alone (18.4%). This further reinforces that digital application developers should take into cognizance the preferred language of farmers before developing any application for them. This will go a long way to foster their acceptability and usage of such tools.

Awareness and use of digital application tools

This section considers the awareness of the farmers about the digital application tools considered in this study, which are the weed management (IITA Herbicide calculator) and agronomy advisory (Akilimo) digital application tools in Nigeria. Results in [Table 5](#) revealed that the level of awareness of the IITA herbicide calculator and the Akilimo is still quite low, as only about 21.8% and 26.6% of the farmers have heard of the weed management and the agronomy advisory tools, respectively. The reason adjudged for this low awareness of the IITA Herbicide calculator despite its importance to the farmers was that it was developed and deployed towards the end of a donor-funded intervention. As such, the follow-up with the

	Frequency	Percentage (%)
<i>Have you heard about IITA Herbicide Calculator Application before?</i>		
Yes	125	21.8
No	447	78.2
<i>Total</i>	<i>572</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>If yes, have you used it before?</i>		
Yes	28	22.4
No	97	77.6
<i>Total</i>	<i>125</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>Have you heard about the Akilimo Application before?</i>		
Yes	152	26.6
No	420	73.4
<i>Total</i>	<i>572</i>	<i>100</i>
<i>If yes, have you used it before?</i>		
Yes	47	30.9
No	105	69.1
<i>Total</i>	<i>152</i>	<i>100</i>

Source(s): Authors' computation

Table 5.
Awareness and use of
digital application tools

farmers was not sustained. Furthermore, among farmers who were aware of both tools, only 22.4% and 30.9% of the farmers used the IITA herbicide calculator and the Akilimo, respectively. Considering the period the digital application tools were developed, these proportions are still quite low, and the adoption lag needs to be minimized significantly. The adoption lag advanced by some of the farmers for the IITA herbicide calculator was caused primarily by the need for the farmers to calibrate the Knapsack before usage. This proved an arduous task for the farmers.

Factors influencing farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools

The logistic regression results for the factors influencing farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools are presented in Table 6. The model was significant at 1%. The significant variables are sex, level of education, access to training, access to the internet, awareness of digital application tools, smartphone ownership, use of paid phone applications, and cost of digital application tools.

Results revealed that the coefficient of sex, with reference to female farmers was negative and statistically significant ($p < 0.1$) with the willingness to adopt farmers. This implies that female farmers are less likely to adopt digital application tools. This likelihood decreases by 8.1% points. This finding is consistent with that of Murage *et al.* (2015), who reported that male smallholder farmers adopted improved technologies faster than their female counterparts. The level of education is positive and statistically significant with the willingness to adopt (secondary education at $p < 0.05$ and primary education at $p < 0.1$). This means that as farmers' level of education increases, the probability that such a farmer will adopt the digital application tools increases. For instance, the results revealed that with

	Coefficient	Standard error	t-value	dy/dx	Marginal effects Standard error	t-value
Female	-0.466*	0.242	-1.92	-0.081*	0.041	-1.950
Age	-0.005	0.01	-0.45	-0.001	0.002	-0.450
Primary education	0.591*	0.329	1.79	0.104	0.059	1.770
Secondary education	0.762**	0.355	2.15	0.133**	0.063	2.100
Tertiary education	0.274	0.477	0.57	0.049	0.086	0.570
Years of experience in farming	0.021*	0.012	1.80	0.004*	0.002	1.820
Training	0.51**	0.235	2.18	0.088**	0.040	2.210
Access to internet	0.743***	0.241	3.08	0.129***	0.040	3.200
Access to extension services	0.15	0.403	0.37	0.026	0.070	0.370
Awareness of the IITA herbicide calculator	0.654**	0.324	2.02	0.113**	0.055	2.040
Awareness of Akilimo	-0.779**	0.303	-2.57	-0.135**	0.051	-2.630
Ownership of smartphone	1.64***	0.361	4.54	0.284***	0.059	4.860
Use a paid phone application	1.183**	0.497	2.38	0.205**	0.085	2.400
Calibration spraying	0.162	0.242	0.67	0.028	0.042	0.670
Cost of application	0.78***	0.286	2.73	0.135***	0.048	2.800
Ease of use of the application	0.274	0.292	0.94	0.047	0.050	0.940
Innovativeness of application	-0.652	0.646	-1.01	-0.113	0.112	-1.010
The application used previously by other farmers	0.599	0.816	0.73	0.104	0.141	0.740
Constant	-1.788***	0.635	-2.81			

Pseudo r-squared = 0.211
 Chi-square = 155.869
 Number of observations = 564
 Prob > χ^2 = 0.000

Note(s): *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.1$

Table 6. Factors influencing farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools

increasing levels of education, the likelihood of farmers adopting digital application tools increases. The likelihood increases by 10.4 and 13.3% points for farmers with primary and secondary education, respectively. This might be the case because educated people are inherently more willing to experiment with new ideas and adopt new practices than non-educated farmers. This outcome is in line with [Oyinbo et al. \(2019\)](#) results that higher levels of education had a favourable impact on the rate of technology adoption. Similar to this, [Diaz et al. \(2021\)](#) found that farmers' propensity to use a mobile app for bamboo marketing is influenced by their degree of education.

Years of experience in farming were positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.1$) with the probability of willingness to adopt digital application tools. This implies that as farmers gain experience in cassava farming, they are more likely to adopt digital application tools. This likelihood increases by 0.4% points. Another key variable influencing adoption is access to training. The training was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Results revealed that farmers with access to training are more likely to adopt digital application tools. This means that as farmers have access to training on the importance of digital technologies, they are more likely to adopt digital application tools for their production activities. This likelihood increases by 8.8% points.

ICTs have emerged as a powerful tool to help smallholder farmers overcome production barriers through mobile phone applications ([Krell et al., 2021](#); [Sharma et al., 2020](#); [Khan Tithi et al., 2021](#)). Through technical advancements like mobile applications, internet services give farmers a platform to improve their livelihood. Access to internet services was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) with smallholders' willingness to adopt digital application tools. This is obvious as access to the internet is very important to the adoption of digital technologies. The results imply that farmers with access to internet service within their community are more likely to be willing to adopt digital application tools considered in this study. The likelihood increases by 12.9% points. Therefore, internet services are needed in farm communities to facilitate the uptake of these digital technologies. The ownership of smartphones is also an integral factor influencing farmers' willingness to adopt digital technologies. Ownership of smartphones was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) with the probability of willingness to adopt digital application tools. This implies that farmers who owned smartphones were more likely to be willing to adopt digital application tools. This likelihood increases by 28.4% points. Another salient factor is the use of paid phone applications by farmers. Results revealed that farmers' willingness to use paid phone applications was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) with the probability of willingness to adopt digital application tools. This is an implication that farmers who are willing to bear some cost in the download and use of an application are more likely to be willing to adopt digital application tools. This likelihood increases by 20.5% points.

Awareness of any technology is key to its adoption. The variables of awareness considered here are the awareness of the IITA herbicide calculator and the Akilimo. Results revealed that awareness of the IITA herbicide calculator was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) with the willingness to adopt these digital application tools. In contrast, the awareness of the Akilimo was negative and statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) with the willingness to adopt. This means that farmers who were aware of the Akilimo digital application tool are less likely to adopt the technology. The probable reason advanced by some farmers for this is that they still need the help of an extension officer to use the Akilimo mobile application. Additionally, it was observed that since most farmers were unaware of the application, this lack of awareness could have possibly slowed its eventual adoption. This outcome is similar to the observations made by [Ochieng et al. \(2019\)](#), who noted that smallholder farmers accepted technology when they were aware of it or familiar with its applications. [Abdul-Hanan \(2017\)](#) concluded that only being aware of technology might not

result in its adoption. To increase adoption, smallholder farmers must be aware of the technology, its use, and its advantages. Smallholder farmers must, therefore, be taught how to utilize and, in some cases, maintain these new technologies for them to be adopted long-term (Krah *et al.*, 2019).

The cost of digital application tools was positive and statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) with the willingness to adopt digital application tools. The findings indicate that the lesser the cost of the digital application tool, the greater the likelihood that farmers are willing to adopt the digital application tool. This likelihood increases by 13.5% points. The reason for this may be due to the apprehension of farmers on the cost attached to the App before downloading. According to Okoroji (2019), the majority of smallholder farmers are sensitive to technology costs and other service charges. A further point Akrofi *et al.* (2019) made was that the high cost of agricultural innovations and technology has hampered their implementation. Senyolo *et al.* (2018) found that smallholder farmers in Africa tended to avoid technology that required high upkeep costs.

Conclusion and recommendations

This study assessed the willingness of smallholder farmers in Ogun State, Nigeria, to adopt digital application tools, the IITA herbicide calculator, and the Akilimo. We found that farmers were willing to adopt the digital application tools, although the awareness of these tools was still low. The willingness to adopt is positively affected by the level of education of farmers, training, access to internet services, ownership of smartphones, willingness to use paid phone applications, awareness of the application tools, and the cost of digital application tools. On the other hand, female farmers were less willing to adopt the technology, and the low awareness of the Akilimo also affected their willingness to adopt the technology. The government must create enabling policies to encourage farmers to acquire at least some basic formal education. Also, training should be provided to farmers through regular and efficient extension services. These would promote the usage of these digital application tools. Additionally, efforts should be made to lower the cost of smartphones while expanding access to internet services in rural areas. This might be accomplished through a Public-Private Partnership (PPP) program, in which the necessary parties could work with the private sector to offer smallholder farmers an effective and reasonably priced internet infrastructure. Female farmers should be encouraged to adopt improved agricultural technologies. To reduce the adoption lag of agricultural technologies in the country, the relevant stakeholders should raise awareness of the digital application tools to enhance acceptance. It is important that policymakers develop pertinent strategies to empower smallholder farmers in rural areas by harnessing the advantages of these improved technologies in raising agricultural productivity and enhancing the quality of life of smallholder farmers. This study will be helpful for the Government to determine the country's readiness for digital agriculture. It will help technology developers and agricultural technology startups to understand the factors determining farmers' willingness to adopt digital application tools. Harnessing these benefits would also enhance the gains of the National Agricultural Technology and Innovation Policy (2022–2027) which aims to ensure the rapid deployment of knowledge and technology to improve the productivity and livelihood of smallholder farmers.

This study contributes to our understanding of agricultural technology adoption; however, some limitations exist. First, the cross-sectional nature of the study, using the baseline data from the Zero Hunger project is a limitation of the study. Future research should examine these issues with multiple rounds of data or longitudinal studies to present a dynamic set of results and draw stronger conclusions about the uptake of agricultural technologies in the country. Secondly, more study locations and a larger sample size would be

useful in understanding how farmers are utilizing agricultural technologies for informed policy-making in the country.

References

- Abdul-Hanan, A. (2017), "Determinants of adoption of soil and water and conservation techniques: evidence from Northern Ghana", *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Management and Informatics*, Vol. 3 No. 1, pp. 31-43, doi: [10.1504/IJSAMI.2017.082918](https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSAMI.2017.082918).
- Aker, J. (2011), "Dial 'A' for agriculture: a review of information and communication technologies for agricultural extension in developing countries", *Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 42 No. 6, pp. 631-647, doi: [10.1111/j.1574-0862.2011.00545](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2011.00545).
- Akilimo (2022), available at: <https://akilimo.org/> (accessed September 2023).
- Akrofi, N.A., Sarpong, D.B., Somuah, H.A.S. and Osei-Owusu, Y. (2019), "Paying for privately installed irrigation services in Northern Ghana: the case of the smallholder Bhungroo Irrigation Technology", *Agricultural Water Management*, Vol. 216, pp. 284-293, doi: [10.1016/j.agwat.2019.02.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2019.02.010).
- Alalwan, A.A., Baabdullah, A.M., Rana, N.P., Tamilmani, K. and Dwivedi, Y.K. (2018), "Examining adoption of mobile internet in Saudi Arabia: extending TAM with perceived enjoyment, innovativeness and trust", *Technology in Society*, Vol. 55, pp. 100-110, doi: [10.1016/j.techsoc.2018.06.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2018.06.007).
- Alshubiri, F., Jamil, S.A. and Elheddad, M. (2019), "The impact of ICT on financial development: empirical evidence from the Gulf Cooperation Council countries", *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, Vol. 11, 184797901987067, doi: [10.1177/184797901987067](https://doi.org/10.1177/184797901987067).
- Arslan, A., McCarthy, N., Lipper, L., Asfaw, S. and Cattaneo, A. (2014), "Agriculture, ecosystems and environment adoption and intensity of adoption of conservation farming practices in Zambia", *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, Vol. 187, pp. 72-86, doi: [10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.08.017), available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0167880913002776>
- AUC and AUDA-NEPAD (2020), *First Continental Report on the Implementation of Agenda 2063*, African Union Commission (AUC) and the African Union Development Agency-NEPAD (AUDA-NEPAD), ISBN: 978-1-928527-22-0.
- Boateng, R., Budu, J., Mbokoh, A.S., Ansong, E., Boateng, S.L. and Anderson, A.B. (2017), "Digital enterprises in Africa: a synthesis of current evidence", (DIODE Network Paper No. 2). Centre for Development Informatics, University of Manchester.
- Bukht, R. and Heeks, R. (2018), "Development implications of digital economies", University of Manchester, Manchester.
- Caffaro, F. and Cavallo, E. (2019), "The effects of individual variables, farming system characteristics and perceived barriers on actual use of smart farming technologies: evidence from the piedmont region, northwestern Italy", *Agriculture*, Vol. 9 No. 5, p. 111, doi: [10.3390/agriculture9050111](https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture9050111).
- Casaburi, L., Mullainathan, S., Kremer, M. and Ramrattan, R. (2019), "Harnessing ICT to increase agricultural production: evidence from Kenya", Working Paper, available at: https://scholar.harvard.edu/files/kremer/files/sms_paper_with_tables_20190923_merged.pdf
- Chapagain, T. and Raizada, M.N. (2017), "Agronomic challenges and opportunities for smallholder terrace agriculture in developing countries", *Frontiers in Plant Science*, Vol. 8, pp. 1-15, doi: [10.3389/fpls.2017.00331](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.00331).
- Chuttur, M.Y. (2009), "Overview of the technology acceptance model: origins, developments and future directions", Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems 9, Indiana University, USA, available at: <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/9-37>
- Cole, S.A. and Fernando, A.N. (2016), "Mobile'izing agricultural advice: technology adoption, diffusion and sustainability", Harvard Business School Finance Working Paper 13, 047, available at: <https://econpapers.repec.org/paper/hbswpaper/13-047.htm>

- Davis, F.D. (1989), "Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology", *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 319-340, doi: [10.2307/249008](https://doi.org/10.2307/249008).
- De Janvry, A., Macours, K. and Sadoulet, E. (2016), "Learning for adopting: technology adoption in developing country agriculture", *Policy Briefs from the Workshop Organised by FERDI and SPIA*, Clermont - Ferrand, June 1-2, 2016, pp. 1-120.
- Diaz, A.C., Sasaki, N., Tsusaka, T.W. and Szabo, S. (2021), "Factors affecting farmers' willingness to adopt a mobile app in the marketing of bamboo products", *Resources, Conservation and Recycling Advances*, Vol. 11, 200056, doi: [10.1016/j.rcradv.2021.200056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcradv.2021.200056).
- Dissanayake, C.A.K., Jayathilake, W., Wickramasuriya, H.V.A., Dissanayake, U., Kopyawattage, K.P.P. and Wasala, W.M.C.B. (2022), "Theories and models of technology adoption in agricultural sector", *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, Vol. 2022, 9258317, doi: [10.1155/2022/9258317](https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/9258317).
- Ebad, S.A. (2018), "An exploratory study of ICT projects failure in emerging markets", *Journal of Global Information Technology Management*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 139-160, doi: [10.1080/1097198X.2018.1462071](https://doi.org/10.1080/1097198X.2018.1462071).
- Emeana, E.M., Trenchard, L. and Dehnen-Schmutz, K. (2020), "The revolution of mobile phone-enabled services for agricultural development (m-Agri services) in Africa: the challenges for sustainability", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12 No. 2, p. 485, doi: [10.3390/su12020485](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020485).
- FAO and ITU (2017), *Paving the Way to a National E-Agriculture Strategy*, Technical Centre for Agricultural and Rural Cooperation ACP-EU Online Discussion on the e-Agriculture Platform.
- Hakkak, M., Vahdati, H. and Biranvand, V. (2013), "An extended technology acceptance model for detecting influencing factors: an empirical investigation", *Management Science Letters*, Vol. 3 No. 11, pp. 2795-2804, doi: [10.5267/j.msl.2013.09.030](https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2013.09.030).
- Haruna, I., Musah, B.A. and Kwame, P.N. (2018), "Does the use of mobile phones by smallholder maize farmers Affect productivity in Ghana?", *Journal of African Business*, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 302-322, doi: [10.1080/15228916.2017.1416215](https://doi.org/10.1080/15228916.2017.1416215).
- Hoang, H.G. (2020), "Determinants of the adoption of mobile phones for fruit marketing Vietnamese farmers", *World Development Perspectives*, Vol. 17, 100178, doi: [10.1016/j.wdp.2020.100178](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wdp.2020.100178).
- Hrustek, L. (2020), "Sustainability driven by agriculture through digital transformation", *Sustainability*, Vol. 12 No. 20, 8596.
- Hung, S.Y., Ku, C.Y. and Chang, C.M. (2003), "The cost-benefit quantitative assessment model of economic influence of shanghai world expo", *Electronic Commerce Research Applications*, Vol. 2 No. 1, pp. 42-60, doi: [10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.933.935](https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMR.933.935).
- Jumbe, C. and Nyambose, W. (2016), "Does conservation agriculture enhance household food security? Evidence from smallholder farmers in Nkhotakota in Malawi", *Sustainable Agricultural Research*, Vol. 5 No. 1, p. 118, doi: [10.5539/sar.v5n1p118](https://doi.org/10.5539/sar.v5n1p118).
- Kabbiri, R., Dora, M., Kumar, V., Elepu, G. and Gellynyk, X. (2018), "Mobile phone adoption in agri-food sector: are farmers in Sub-Saharan Africa connected?", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, Vol. 131, pp. 253-261, doi: [10.1016/j.techfore.2017.12.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.12.010), available at: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0040162517317894>
- Khan Tithi, T., Chakraborty, T.R., Akter, P., Islam, H. and Khan Sabah, A. (2021), "Context, design and conveyance of information: ICT-enabled agricultural information services for rural women in Bangladesh", *AI and Society*, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 277-287, doi: [10.1007/s00146-020-01016-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-020-01016-9).
- Krah, K., Michelson, H., Perge, E. and Jindal, R. (2019), "Constraints to adopting soil fertility management practices in Malawi: a choice experiment approach", *World Development*, Vol. 124, 104651, doi: [10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104651](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104651).
- Krell, N.T., Giroux, S.A., Guido, Z., Hannah, C., Lopus, S.E., Caylor, K.K. and Evans, T.P. (2021), "Smallholder farmers' use of mobile phone services in central Kenya", *Climate and Development*, Vol. 13 No. 3, pp. 215-227, doi: [10.1080/17565529.2020.1748847](https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2020.1748847).

- Kumar, R., Kumar, P. and Pal, S. (2021), "Farmers' awareness regarding information and communication technology (ICT) based equipments in agriculture sector of Haryana", *UGC Care Group*, Vol. 1, pp. 172-183.
- Laborde, D. (2022), "Critical food and fertilizer price increase and its impact on smallholder farmers in Africa", *TICAD 8*, August 26th 2022.
- Mandi, K. and Patnaik, N.M. (2019), "Mobile apps in agriculture and allied sector: an extended arm for farmers", *Agriculture Update*, Vol. 14 No. 4, pp. 334-342, doi: [10.15740/has/au/14.4/334-342](https://doi.org/10.15740/has/au/14.4/334-342).
- Massaro, M., Secinaro, S., Dal Mas, F., Brescia, V. and Calandra, D. (2021), "Industry 4.0 and circular economy: an exploratory analysis of academic and practitioners' perspectives", *Business Strategy and the Environment*, Vol. 30 No. 2, pp. 1213-1231, 107197.
- Mhlanga, D. and Ndhlovu, E. (2023), "Digital technology adoption in the agriculture sector: challenges and complexities in Africa", *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, Vol. 2023, pp. 1-10, doi: [10.1155/2023/6951879](https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/6951879).
- Michels, M., Fecke, W., Feil, J.H., Musshoff, O., Pigisch, J. and Krone, S. (2020), "Smartphone adoption and use in agriculture: empirical evidence from Germany", *Precision Agriculture*, Vol. 21 No. 2, pp. 403-425, doi: [10.1007/s11119-019-09675-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11119-019-09675-5).
- Misaki, E., Apiola, M., Gaiani, S. and Tedre, M. (2018), "Challenges facing sub-Saharan small-scale farmers in accessing farming information through mobile phones: a systematic literature review", *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, Vol. 84 No. 4, pp. 1-12, doi: [10.1002/isd2.12034](https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12034).
- Mohapatra, B., Tripathy, S., Singhal, D. and Saha, R. (2022), "Significance of digital technology in manufacturing sectors: examination of key factors during COVID-19", *Research in Transportation Economics*, Vol. 93, 101134.
- Muhamad, S., Kusairi, S., Man, M., Majid, N.F.H. and Kassim, W.Z.W. (2021), "Digital adoption by enterprises in Malaysian industrial sectors during COVID-19 pandemic: a data article", *Data in Brief*, Vol. 37.
- Murage, A., Midega, C., Pittchar, J., Pickett, J. and Khan, Z. (2015), "Determinants of adoption of climate-smart push-pull technology for enhanced food security through integrated pest management in eastern Africa", *Food Security*, Vol. 7 No. 3, pp. 709-724, doi: [10.1007/s12571-015-0454-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12571-015-0454-9).
- Mwita, E.M., Mburu, J., Elizaphan, R., Oburu, J., Okeyo, M. and Kahumbu, S. (2020), "Impact of ICT based extension services on dairy production and household Welfare: the case of iCow service in Kenya", *Journal of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 12 No. 3, p. 141, doi: [10.5539/jas.v12n3p141](https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v12n3p141).
- NITDA (2020), "Nigeria Digital Agriculture Strategy(2020 – 2030)", nitda.gov.ng, available at: https://nitda.gov.ng/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Digital-Agriculture-Strategy-NDAS-In-Review_Clean.pdf (accessed 14 November 2023).
- Ntshangase, N.L., Muroyiwa, B. and Sibanda, M. (2018), "Farmers' perceptions and factors influencing the adoption of no-till conservation agriculture by small-scale farmers in Zashuke, KwaZulu-Natal province", *Sustainability*, Vol. 10 No. 2, p. 555, doi: [10.3390/su10020555](https://doi.org/10.3390/su10020555).
- Nyarkoa, D.A. and Kozárib, J. (2021), "Information and communication technologies (ICTs) usage among agricultural extension officers and its impact on extension delivery in Ghana", *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*, Vol. 20 No. 3, pp. 164-172, doi: [10.1016/j.jssas.2021.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2021.01.002).
- Ochieng, J., Schreinemachers, P., Ogada, M., Dinssa, F.F., Barnos, W. and Mndiga, H. (2019), "Adoption of improved amaranth varieties and good agricultural practices in East Africa", *Land Use Policy*, Vol. 83, pp. 187-194, doi: [10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.02.002).
- Okoroji, V., (2019), "Farmers' use of mobile phone applications in Abia state", (Doctoral dissertation, Lincoln University). Nigeria: a thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Commerce (Agricultural) at Lincoln University.
- Okoroji, V., Lees, N.J. and Lucock, X. (2021), "Factors affecting the adoption of mobile applications by farmers: an empirical investigation", *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 19-29, doi: [10.5897/AJAR2020.14909](https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR2020.14909).

- Oyinbo, O., Chamberlin, J., Vanlauwe, B., Vranken, L., Kamara, Y.A., Craufurd, P. and Maertens, M. (2019), "Farmers' preferences for high-input agriculture supported by site-specific extension services: evidence from a choice experiment in Nigeria", *Agricultural Systems*, Vol. 173, pp. 12-26, doi: [10.1016/j.agsy.2019.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2019.02.003).
- Park, E. and del Pobil, A.P. (2013), "Technology acceptance model for the use of tablet PCs", *Wireless Personal Communications*, Vol. 73 No. 4, pp. 1561-1572, doi: [10.1007/s11277-013-1266-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11277-013-1266-x).
- Petcho, W., Szabo, S., Kusakabe, K. and Yukongdi, V. (2019), "Farmers' perception and drivers of membership in rice production community enterprises: evidence from the central region, Thailand", *Sustainability*, Vol. 11 No. 19, p. 5445, doi: [10.3390/su11195445](https://doi.org/10.3390/su11195445).
- Raithatha, R. (2020), "AgriTech in Nigeria Investment opportunities and challenges", available at: https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/AgriTech_in_Nigeria_Investment_Opportunities_and_Challenges1.pdf (accessed 15 September 2022).
- Rehman, A., Jingdong, L., Khatoon, R., Hussain, I. and Iqbal, M.S. (2016), "Modern agricultural technology adoption its importance, role and usage for the improvement of agriculture", *Life Science Journal*, Vol. 14 No. 2, pp. 70-74.
- Rezaei, R., Safa, L. and Ganjkanloo, M.M. (2020), "Understanding farmers' ecological conservation behavior regarding the use of integrated pest management- an application of the technology acceptance model", *Global Ecology and Conservation*, Vol. 22, e00941, doi: [10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00941](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2020.e00941).
- Rind, M.M., Monsoor, H., Saand, A., Alzabi, T., Nawaz, H. and Ujan, N. (2017), "Impact investigation of perceived cost and perceived risk in mobile commerce: analytical study of Pakistan", *International Journal of Computer Science and Network Security*, Vol. 11, p. 17.
- Sadekur Rahman, M., Enamul Haque, M. and Safiul Islam Afrad, M. (2020), "Utility of mobile phone usage in agricultural information dissemination in Bangladesh", *East African Scholars Journal of Agriculture and Life Sciences*, Vol. 4472, doi: [10.36349/EASJALS.2020.v03i06.020](https://doi.org/10.36349/EASJALS.2020.v03i06.020).
- Saiz-Rubio, V. and Rovira-Más, F. (2020), "From smart farming towards agriculture 5.0: a review on crop data management", *Agronomy*, Vol. 10 No. 2, 207.
- Senyolo, M.P., Long, T.B., Blok, V. and Omta, O. (2018), "How the characteristics of innovations impact their adoption: an exploration of climate-smart agricultural innovations in South Africa", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, Vol. 172, pp. 3825-3840, doi: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.06.019).
- Shapshak, T. (2018), *African Agri-Tech Startups Boom with 110% Growth since 2016*, Forbes.
- Sharma, N.R., Sharma, S. and Sharma, D. (2020), "Towards a mobile app technology-enabled sustainable agriculture in India", *Plant Archives*, Vol. 20, pp. 3065-3071.
- Sturgeon, T., Fredriksson, T. and Korke, D. (2017), "The 'New' digital economy and development", UNCTAD Technical Notes on ICT for Development, 8.
- Thar, S.P., Ramilan, T., Farquharson, R.J., Pang, A. and Chen, D. (2021), "An empirical analysis of the use of agricultural mobile applications among smallholder farmers in Myanmar", *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, Vol. 87 No. 2, doi: [10.1002/isd2.12159](https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12159).
- UNCTAD (2015), "Commodities and development report 2015: smallholder farmers and sustainable commodity development", *Proceedings of the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development*, UNCTAD, Geneva.
- Wang, Y.S., Wang, Y.M., Lin, H.H. and Tang, T.I. (2003), "Determinants of user acceptance of Internet banking: an empirical study", *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, Vol. 14 No. 5, pp. 501-519, doi: [10.1108/09564230310500192](https://doi.org/10.1108/09564230310500192).
- Worldbank (2021), "Agriculture, forestry, and fishing, value added (% of GDP) – Nigeria", available at: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.AGR.TOTL.ZS?locations=NG> (accessed 12 September 2022).

Wu, J.H. and Wang, S.C. (2005), "What drives mobile commerce? An empirical evaluation of the revised technology acceptance model", *Information Management*, Vol. 42 No. 5, pp. 719-729, doi: [10.1016/j.im.2004.07.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2004.07.001).

Journal of
Strategy and
Management

Corresponding author

Daniel Oyewale Abioye can be contacted at: O.Abioye@cgiar.org

For instructions on how to order reprints of this article, please visit our website:

www.emeraldgrouppublishing.com/licensing/reprints.htm

Or contact us for further details: permissions@emeraldinsight.com